	Case 1:24-cv-01301-JLT-HBK Documer	nt 22	Filed 07/31/25	Page 1 of 2				
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10								
11	BOBBY AYERS,	C	ase No. 1:24-cv-013	301 JLT HBK (PC)				
12	Plaintiff,		ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND					
13	v.	A	RECOMMENDATIONS, DISMISSING THE ACTION WITH PREJUDICE, AND					
14	SERGEANT VASQUEZ and OFFICER		DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COURT TO CLOSE THE CASE					
15	HUDSON,	(Doc. 21)						
16	Defendants.							
17	Bobby Ayers seeks to hold Sergeant Vasquez and Officer Hudson liable for violations of							
18	his civil rights while housed at the California	his civil rights while housed at the California Correctional Institute- Tehachapi. (See Doc. 20.)						
19	The magistrate judge screened Plaintiff's thir	The magistrate judge screened Plaintiff's third amended complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §						
20	1915A and found he failed to allege facts sufficient to support a claim. (Doc. 21 at 5-7.) The							
21	magistrate judge observed that despite being provided the applicable legal standards in prior							
22	screening orders, the third amended complaint "suffers from many of the same pleading							
23	deficiencies identified." (Id. at 7.) Therefore, the magistrate judge found that further leave to							
24	amend was futile and recommended the Court dismiss the action for failure to state a claim. (Id.)							
25	The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified him that							
26	any objections were due within 14 days. (Doc. 21 at 7.) The Court advised him that the "failure							
27	to file any objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of certain rights on							
28	appeal." (Id., citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).) Plaintiff did							
		1						

	Case 1:24-cv-	01301-JLT-HBK	Document 22	Filed 07/31/25	Page 2 of 2			
1	not file objections, and the time to do so has passed.							
2	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a <i>de novo</i> review of this case							
3	Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations							
4	are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court ORDERS :							
5	1. The Findings and Recommendations dated on July 3, 2025 (Doc. 21) are							
6	ADOPTED in full.							
7	2. Plaintiff's third amended complaint (Doc. 20) is DISMISSED without leave to							
8		amend.						
9	3.	The action is DISN	MISSED with prej	udice for failure to	state a claim.			
10	4.	The Clerk of Court	shall close this ca	ise.				
11								
12	Dated: July 31, 2025							
13	Dated:	July 31, 2025		UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT JUDGE			
14				ο. γ -1-μ2 σ111				
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27 28								
/ X	•							